

International Journal of Multidisciplinary Trends

E-ISSN: 2709-9369
P-ISSN: 2709-9350
Impact Factor (RJIF): 6.32
www.multisubjectjournal.com
IJMT 2025; 7(11): 176-179
Received: 12-08-2025
Accepted: 15-09-2025

Madhurya Chetia
Assistant Professor,
Department of Economics,
Karmashree Hiteswar Saikia
College, Guwahati, Assam,
India

Chinese Property Sector Crisis: Lessons for India

Madhurya Chetia

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.22271/multi.2025.v7.i11c.841>

Abstract

China's property sector crisis — marked by heavy debt burdens, liquidity crunches, and regulatory clampdowns — poses systemic risks to its economy and offers important lessons for other rapidly urbanizing economies, notably India. This paper critically examines the root causes of China's property downturn, including the "three red lines" policy, dependence on pre-sales and local-government revenue reliance on land sales. Through a review of public data, academic literature, and policy commentary, this research outlines both macroeconomic and micro-level implications. Key lessons for India include the importance of prudent leverage management, strong regulatory frameworks (e.g., escrow protection), demand-driven housing growth, and avoiding overdependence on land-based fiscal models. The paper concludes by offering policy recommendations for India to strengthen its real estate sector's resilience, promoting sustainable growth while minimizing systemic risk.

Keywords: China property crisis, real estate debt, three red lines, India real estate, regulatory risk

Introduction

In recent years, China's real estate sector, long regarded as a pillar of its rapid economic growth, has entered a protracted crisis. Heavy borrowing by developers, aggressive expansion strategies, and overreliance on shadow banking have culminated in major defaults — most notably by China Evergrande, which carried over US\$ 300 billion in liabilities. Regulatory tightening, especially through the so-called "three red lines" policy, triggered liquidity squeezes that exposed the fragility of growth underpinned by real estate. The implications are profound, not only for China's financial sector and GDP growth but also for global markets. For India, a rising economic power with its own real estate boom, the Chinese crisis offers a cautionary tale. While India's real estate sector currently contributes a much lower share of GDP (around 7%) compared to China, the rapid urbanization, growing housing demand, and increasing financialization of real estate make it susceptible to similar pressures. The risk is compounded if speculative behaviour, overleveraging, or weak regulatory structures are allowed to proliferate unchecked. This research seeks to answer: What are the key drivers of China's property sector crisis, and what lessons should India draw from it to bolster the resilience of its own real estate market? By dissecting China's crisis and mapping the parallels and divergences with India, we aim to provide actionable policy recommendations to avoid analogous pitfalls.

Objectives

- To analyze the underlying causes of the Chinese property sector crisis, including regulatory, financial, and structural factors.
- To examine the macroeconomic and systemic impacts of the crisis on China's economy, local governments, and financial institutions.
- To draw lessons for the Indian real estate market, by identifying vulnerabilities and best practices.
- To propose policy recommendations for India that can help mitigate real estate-related systemic risk while promoting sustainable growth.

Methodology

This study uses a qualitative research design based on secondary data. The methodology involves:

- Literature Review: Examining academic papers (e.g., working papers on China's debt dynamics Middlebury), policy analyses, news reports, and expert commentary to understand the evolution and drivers of China's property crisis.
- Policy Analysis: Reviewing Chinese regulatory measures (such as the "three red

Corresponding Author:
Madhurya Chetia
Assistant Professor,
Department of Economics,
Karmashree Hiteswar Saikia
College, Guwahati, Assam,
India

lines” policy) and comparing them with the regulatory landscape in India (e.g., RERA).

- **Comparative Framework:** Drawing parallels and contrasts between China and India in terms of real estate sector size, financial structuring, developer behaviour, and institutional safeguards.
- **Synthesis & Recommendations:** Based on lessons derived from China and the current state of India’s real estate market, proposing policy actions to avoid systemic risk.

Review of literature

The literature on China’s property-sector crisis converges on several central themes: excessive leverage, regulatory backlash (especially via the “three red lines” policy), trust collapse in pre-sale models, and the fiscal fragility of local governments. Researchers such as Yang, Zhang, Zhu, and Sun (2023) ^[14] have empirically analyzed the impact of the red-lines policy, showing that the sudden imposition of debt-to-asset, net-debt, and liquidity constraints sharply reduced developer leverage and triggered liquidity stress. Complementing this, Liu’s working paper (2024) documents how many prominent developers—including Evergrande, Sunac, and Greenland—failed to comply with those limits, leading to defaults that had cascading effects on suppliers and buyers. Political economy scholars highlight deeper structural problems. Jacob and Nair contextualize China’s property system in its historical regulatory trajectory, arguing that housing in China has become overly financialized. Similarly, PIIIE analysts criticize the boom-bust cycle in Chinese housing: they argue that loosening and tightening of financing restrictions has become a tool of macroeconomic stabilization, which risks perpetuating systemic instability. Others underscore the role of local government dependence on land sales. A round-table summary by Asia Society observers notes that by relying heavily on land-use rights auctions, local governments created perverse incentives that fuelled speculative construction at the cost of long-term fiscal sustainability. CKGSB researchers also emphasize how over-building and off-balance-sheet debt accumulation was driven by local-local government cooperation with developers, magnifying risk when demand weakened. A more concrete social consequence emerges in studies of buyer resistance. The “mortgage boycott,” widely covered in reports, reflects how trust broke down as developers failed to deliver pre-sold properties. The prevalence of unfinished buildings (as analyzed by NYU’s Blueprint project) is a stark illustration of how liquidity crunches translated into stalling of construction and social unrest. From a risk-management perspective, Validus Risk Management traces how the three red lines policy, while conceptually aimed at reducing leverage, inadvertently constrained refinancing capabilities for many developers. In the domain of policy-transfer to India, the commercial real-estate firm Colliers has drawn lessons from China’s crisis, urging Indian developers to emphasize financial discipline, flexible pricing, risk-diversified funding strategies, and stronger governance. Overall, the literature suggests that China’s property crisis is not merely a cyclical downturn but rooted in structural and institutional flaws. For India, these analyses signal critical warnings: unchecked leverage, overreliance on speculative demand, weak regulatory safeguards, and fiscal models tied to land sales can all increase systemic risk.

Discussion & Analysis

1. Causes of China’s Property Sector Crisis

a) High Leverage and Debt Accumulation

One of the central causes of China’s property crisis was the excessive leverage adopted by real estate developers. Major firms such as Evergrande aggressively borrowed from banks, trust firms (shadow banking), and via bond issuance to finance rapid expansion. The reliance on high-risk, short-term financing made these firms vulnerable when conditions tightened.

b) Regulatory Shock: The “Three Red Lines” Policy

In 2020, China introduced the “three red lines” policy which imposed strict leverage-based constraints on developers:

- Liability-to-asset ratio (excluding advance proceeds) $\leq 70\%$
- Net debt-to-equity ratio $\leq 100\%$
- Cash-to-short-term debt ≥ 1

While well-intentioned to curb excessive risk, these rules drastically squeezed developers’ access to refinancing and capital, leading to liquidity stress.

c) Pre-sales Business Model and Delivery Risk

Many Chinese developers depended heavily on pre-sales: selling units before construction completion to generate cash. When buyer confidence eroded — due to economic uncertainty, default fears, and in some cases, projects stalled — pre-sales dried up. This created a vicious cycle: lack of cash meant construction stopped, leaving buyers exposed and further undermining trust.

d) Overdependence of Local Governments on Land Sales

Local governments in China heavily relied on land sales to fund infrastructure and public spending. As property demand slowed, land sale revenues collapsed, creating fiscal stress and weakening local government finances.

e) Shadow Banking Exposure

Real estate developers also tapped shadow banking (trust companies, wealth-management products) to raise funds. The opacity and risk premium associated with such funding sources magnified the vulnerability when market sentiment changed.

f) Structural Oversupply and Demographic Pressures

Decades of construction-driven growth had led to oversupply in many Chinese cities — so-called “ghost cities” — and declining demand growth as demographics slowed and urbanization matured. This structural misalignment undermined the sustainability of property-driven growth.

2. Impacts and Systemic Risks

a) Financial Sector Strain

As developers defaulted or became cash-strapped, the risk of non-performing loans (NPLs) in banks rose. The potential for contagion into the financial system increased, challenging China’s banking stability.

b) Local Government Debt Crisis

With declining land-sale revenues, local government financing vehicles (LGFVs) faced mounting debt. This “hidden debt” problem intensified, raising questions about

fiscal sustainability and the ability to fund public services.

c) Economic Slowdown

Construction, property, and related industries (steel, cement, furnishings) account for a significant share of China's GDP. The property slump contributed to slowing GDP growth, lower consumption, and weaker investor confidence.

d) Social and Political Risk

Unfinished housing projects triggered buyer protests, undermined consumer trust, and raised social stability concerns. The government faced the dilemma of whether to bailout developers or prioritize long-term financial discipline.

e) Global Spill-over

China's real estate contraction has global implications given its role in commodity demand (steel, glass, cement) and its integration into global financial markets. Moreover, distressed debt and restructuring opportunities have drawn international investor attention.

3. Lessons for India

From the Chinese experience, several lessons emerge that are directly relevant to India:

a) Prudent Leverage is Crucial

Indian developers should avoid the over-leveraging trap. The Chinese crisis demonstrates how aggressive borrowing can sow the seeds of systemic risk. Colliers, for instance, warns that Indian developers must critically evaluate financial risk and build more robust business models.

b) Regulatory Safeguards Matter

India's Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act (RERA), which mandates escrow accounts, financial disclosures, and project-level accountability, provides a stronger safety net compared to China's more market-driven model. Strengthening and enforcing such regulation can help prevent fund diversion and boost buyer confidence.

c) Avoid Overdependence on Land-Based Local Government Financing

Unlike China, where local governments lean heavily on land sale proceeds, India should diversify municipal and state revenues to avoid fiscal vulnerabilities. Experts have flagged China's overreliance on land as a dangerous fiscal model.

d) Demand-Driven Growth Is Preferable to Speculative Supply

India must focus on genuine end-user demand and affordable housing, rather than speculative real estate investment. As Live Mint argues, India needs homes in more affordable price bands (e.g., ₹10–15 lakhs) to broaden housing access without fuelling speculative bubbles.

e) Institutional Reforms to Curb Shadow Financing

Given China's vulnerabilities stemming from shadow banking, India must keep a tight leash on non-bank financial institutions' involvement in real estate financing. Transparency in lending, strict disclosure norms, and risk monitoring are critical.

f) Build Buffer for Liquidity Risk

India's real estate firms should maintain healthy cash buffers and avoid dependence on short-term funding for long-term projects. The Chinese crisis underscores how liquidity mismatches can trigger defaults and project delays.

g) Encourage Sustainable and Inclusive Urbanization

India should promote balanced urban growth rather than speculative real estate bubbles. Policies to support affordable housing, improve infrastructure, and integrate demand forecasting into planning can help manage supply more sustainably.

Findings

Through the analysis, the following key findings emerge:

- China's property crisis was not accidental — it resulted from a confluence of high leverage, aggressive pre-sales, regulatory missteps, and overreliance on land-based fiscal models.
- Regulatory tightening (the three red lines) was both necessary and destabilizing: while curbing risk, it also exposed the fragility of highly leveraged developers.
- Systemic risks extended beyond real estate — affecting local government finances, banks, and shadow lenders. India's real estate sector is exposed, albeit to a lesser extent, given lower leverage and the presence of regulatory safeguards like RERA.
- Proactive policy learning from China's experience can help India steer its real estate sector toward sustainable, demand-driven growth without compromising financial stability.

Conclusion

The Chinese property sector crisis serves as a powerful cautionary tale for India. While the Indian real estate market currently exhibits healthier fundamentals — lower percentage of GDP exposure, regulatory frameworks like RERA, and end-user demand — the lessons from China are too significant to ignore. Unchecked leverage, speculative behaviour, and overdependence on land sales can precipitate systemic risk. India must prioritize regulatory robustness, fiscal diversification, and demand-led housing development to avoid a similar crash. By embedding financial prudence, transparency, and long-term planning into its property sector, India can leverage real estate as a driver of inclusive growth — without falling prey to the pitfalls experienced by its northern neighbour.

Recommendations

Based on the analysis, the paper offers the following recommendations for Indian policymakers, regulators, and developers:

Strengthen RERA Enforcement

- Ensure strict compliance with escrow account rules.
- Mandate real-time disclosure of project cost, funding, and status to buyers.
- Impose stiff penalties for fund diversion or non-delivery.

Diversify Local Government Revenues

- Reduce dependence on land-sale revenues by enhancing property taxation, user charges, and alternative revenue streams.
- Encourage municipal bonds and other instruments to finance infrastructure.

Limit Developer Leverage

- Introduce sector-level leverage norms, possibly benchmarked to equity and cash reserves.
- Discourage excessive short-term borrowing for long-term projects.

Regulate Shadow Financing

- Strengthen oversight of NBFCs, trusts, and other non-bank lenders active in real estate.
- Enforce stringent disclosure norms and risk-weighting for real estate exposure.

Promote Affordable, Demand-Driven Housing

- Incentivize developers to build housing in affordable price segments (₹10–15 lakh or equivalent).
- Deploy land-use reforms to reduce the cost of land acquisition and encourage inclusive development.

Build Liquidity Buffers and Stress Testing

- Require real estate firms to maintain minimum cash buffers relative to short-term liabilities.
- Conduct periodic stress tests of developers under adverse scenarios (demand slump, credit contraction).

Improve Data and Monitoring

- Create a national real estate database for inventory, sales, debt, and project implementation.
- Monitor unsold stock, pre-sales, and project completion rates to forecast risk early.

Investor Education and Protection

- Expand initiatives to educate homebuyers about risks associated with pre-sales and project delays.
- Strengthen dispute-resolution mechanisms under RERA to protect consumers.

References

1. Aggarwal R. As China's property crisis deepens, experts see a silver lining for India. Business Standard. 2022 Aug 8. https://www.business-standard.com/article/economy-policy/as-china-s-property-crisis-deepens-experts-see-a-silver-lining-for-india-122080800996_1
2. AInvest. The collapse of China's real estate sector: Lessons from Evergrande's \$50 billion implosion. AInvest. 2025. <https://www.ainvest.com/news/collapse-china-real-estate-sector-lessons-evergrande-50-billion-implosion-2508/>
3. Chun F, Wang S, editors. Understanding China's real estate markets: Development, finance, and investment. Springer; 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-49032-4>
4. Indian Express. Explained Interview | Why Evergrande collapsed, and the limits to China's debt-fuelled, property-centric growth model. The Indian Express. 2025 Oct. <https://indianexpress.com/article/explained/explained-global/why-evergrande-collapsed-limits-china-debt-fuelled-growth-10214462/>
5. India Today. Explained: Why China's Evergrande crisis has sent global stock markets in panic mode. India Today. 2021 Sep 21. <https://www.indiatoday.in/business/story/china-evergrande-crisis-global-stock-markets-in-panic-mode-1855467-2021-09-21>
6. Japan Research Institute. China's real-estate crisis and local-government debt crisis (RIM Report No. 91). 2024. <https://www.jri.co.jp/en/MediaLibrary/file/english/periodical/rim/2024/91.pdf>
7. Li Y. The policy paradox: Government debt servicing and local bank risk growth [working paper]. arXiv. 2025. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2502.13423>
8. Liu Q. China real estate crisis [working paper]. Middlebury Institute; 2024. https://www.middlebury.edu/institute/sites/default/files/2024-07/china-real-estate-crisis_qiying-liu_miis_ited-working-paper_june2024.pdf
9. LiveMint. Economic lessons for India from the Evergrande crisis in China. LiveMint. 2021. <https://www.livemint.com/opinion/columns/economic-lessons-for-india-from-the-evergrande-crisis-in-china-11633448674858.html>
10. Rodriguez M. The Chinese real estate bubble: An economy that could collapse the world. 2025. ISBN: 979-8230107064.
11. Times of India. The rise and demise of Chinese property giant Evergrande. Times of India. 2021 Dec. <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/business/international-business/the-rise-and-demise-of-chinese-property-giant-evergrande/articleshow/88185625.cms>
12. Times of India. Evergrande's big fall: A lesson for India. Times of India. 2025 Aug 25. <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/blogs/genezits/evergrandes-big-fall-a-lesson-for-india/>
13. Xiao S, Lai X, Peng J. China's easily overlooked monetary transmission mechanism: Monetary reservoir. arXiv. 2021. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.15327>
14. Yang C, Zhang X, Zhu Y, Sun Y. The impact of three red lines policy on China's real estate industry. Advances in Economics, Management and Political Sciences. 2023;7:335–342. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2754-1169/7/20230252>